

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Jens Arik Almkermann 07/18/2003 PNL 21347 10/621,615 2906 **EXAMINER** 7590 06/14/2006 Peter N. Lalos MILLER, CARL STUART Kenneth J. Whittington, ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Stevens, Davis, Miller & Mosher, LLP 1615 L Street, N.W., Suite 850 Washington, DC 20036-5622

3747 DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

त दिन वृद्धनामान स्वामित्रीती

0	γ
Ŋ	0

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,615	ALMKERMANN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carl S. Miller	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 March 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10-13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 14-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9, 14-15 and 17-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kempfer ('294) in view of Kirwan.

Kempfer applies as per the last office action and Kirwan teaches the use of reformer gases from an onboard fuel cell system to heat exhaust gases during starting and at other times by directly thermally coupling these gases to the exhaust gas flow. Also, Kempfer does not specify the use of a heat exchanger per se, but implies the use of such a device in that the pre-heater disclosed may be generically used to heat many different devices, thereby making a heat exchanger an obvious way to achieve this end.

It would have been obvious to use the heat generated by the reformer gases of Kempfer to thermally heat the exhaust gases of the system as taught by Kirwan because the latter had taught this as a source of converter pre-heating and the former had already taught the desirability of pre-heating the converter to improve engine performance.

Claims 2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kempfer and Kirwan as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Andrews.

Andrews teaches the use of reformer gases from a fuel cell system to pre-heat intake air in order to improve engine performance.

Page 3

It would have been obvious modify Kempfer as noted above and to use the reformer gases to also heat the intake air as taught by Andrews because Kempfer notes that the component (10) that is pre-heated may be any component that would benefit from pre-heating (and this would have included an air intake manifold).

'Claims 10-13 remain allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed 3/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, the examiner has considered applicant's position very carefully but cannot agree with his analysis of the claims. The applicant is reading limitations into the claims that simply do not exist. The use of the phrase "thermal coupling" and similar language is very broad language that does not, in itself, require that the prior art teach the use of the a heat exchanger. The only independent claim that might require this limitation is Claim 10 and that claim has been allowed. If a reformate is supplied to the exhaust line then it is thermally coupled to the exhaust system. The examiner has reviewed the claim language and, except as noted, can find no requirement that the thermal coupling be in the form of a heat exchanger. Thus, the previous rejection must be repeated.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3747

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin, can be reached at 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Can S. Miller Printary Examiner